

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the  
District of Montana

United States of America

v.

Amber Marie Cody

Case No: CR 23-08-BU-DLC

USM No: 6430-9510

Date of Original Judgment: 01/03/2024

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: \_\_\_\_\_

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of \_\_\_\_\_ months **is reduced to** \_\_\_\_\_ .  
(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Defendant seeks a sentence reduction under the retroactive application to the criminal history rules in Parts A and B, subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines. (*See* Doc. 65.) Because Defendant was sentenced after November 1, 2023, and according to the current Guidelines, (*see* PSR ¶¶ 42–44), Defendant is not eligible for a sentence reduction under the retroactive application of Amendment 821. Her motion is denied.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated \_\_\_\_\_ shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 03/21/2024

  
Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

Dana L. Christensen, District Judge

Printed name and title